

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,310	02/20/2004	Yegor Sinelnikov	TRANS 3.0-055	7812
530	7590 06/21/2006		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			VRETTAKOS, PETER J	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIEL	D, NJ 07090		3739	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/21/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E	/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Common to	10/783,310	SINELNIKOV ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Peter J. Vrettakos	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	ebruary 2004.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
• "	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D						

Art Unit: 3739

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to a catheter with contrast medium, classified in class 600, subclass 433.
- II. Claims 7-10, drawn to a method of use for a catheter with a contrast medium, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- III. Claims 11-28, drawn to a catheter with a steering mechanism, classified in class 604, subclass 528.
- IV. Claims 29-39, drawn to a method of ultrasound cardiac ablation in the heart, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- V. Claims 40-49, drawn to an ultrasound emitted with a piezoelectric element and surrounding balloon on a catheter, classified in class 427, subclass 100.
- VI. Claims 54-57, drawn to methods of cardiac ultrasound ablation, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- VII. Claims 50-53 and 58-67, drawn to a balloon catheter with expandible and reinforcing structures, classified in class 604, subclass 95.
- VIII. Claims 68-76, drawn to an inflatable structure with ultrasonic emitter and exit window, classified in class 606, subclass 27.
- IX. Claims 77-82, drawn to an ultrasound apparatus with a fresnel lens, classified in class 359, subclass 742.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, III, V, VII, VIII, IX and II, IV, VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case each of the devices claimed can be used in non-cardiac applications.

Inventions I, III, V, VII, VIII and IX are directed to related catheters. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the groups include a contrast medium catheter, a catheter with a steering mechanism, an ultrasound catheter with a piezoelectric element, a catheter with expandable structures, an inflatable ultrasound catheter with an exit window and an ultrasound apparatus with a fresnel lens. (Each group has a distinct classification as specified above.)

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,310

Art Unit: 3739

A telephone call was made to Marcus Millet on 6-14-06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Vrettakos whose telephone number is 571-272-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pete Vrettakos June 14, 2006 ROY D'. GIBSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

og D. Gibson